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type (holotype or syntypes) were designated at the time of valid publication of a name, any one of two or more specimens cited by the author of the validating description of the name.”

This would make it clear that when the author of a name is not the author of the validating description and no type (holotype or syntypes) were designated at the time of its valid publication it is the specimens cited by the author of the validating description, and not the specimens cited by the validating author, that are the syntypes of the name.

(156) Amend Art. 7.8 by replacing the words “in the protologue” with “by the author of the validating description”.

This would make it clear that when the author of a name is not the author of the validating description it is the specimens and illustrations cited by the author of the validating description that are the paratypes of the name.
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(157-158) Two proposals to amend Article 8 of the Code

The following proposals are formulated to meet two goals. The first is to retain the provisions of Art. 8.3 (Greuter & al., 1988) as applied to generic names. The second is to permit the continued use of the term “type” in the general sense of original material for species and infraspecific names, as commonly practised for the past 40 years. Supporting arguments are presented in a companion paper (Barrie & al., 1992: 508-512).
The proposed starting point of 1 January 1953 in the second proposal is established in the Code (Art. 29, 31, 32-35) and is the logical starting point following publication of the Stockholm Code in September (Lanjouw & al., 1952) when formal definitions of holotype, lectotype, neotype, isotype, syntype, and paratype entered the document. The literature indicates that prior to the Stockholm Code, "type" was usually applied to single specimens, but once clear definitions of the various kinds of types were available, the concept of "type = original material" became common.

(157) Restrict provisions of Art. 8.3 to taxa above the rank of species by inserting in the first line after the word "type" the words "for a name above the rank of species", so that it reads:

"8.3. For purposes of priority under Art. 8.1, designation of a type for a name above the rank of species is achieved only if the type is definitely accepted as such by the typifying author, and if the type element is clearly indicated by direct citation including the term "type" or an equivalent!"

(158) Add a new provision, Art. 8.3bis, to require the explicit use of the term lectotype, neotype or their equivalent after 1 January 1953 to designate a lectotype or a neotype at or below the rank of species:

"8.3bis. For purposes of priority under Art. 8.1 designation of a type of a name at or below the rank of species is achieved only if the type is definitely accepted as such by the typifying author, and (a) prior to 1 January 1953 the type element is clearly indicated by direct citation including the term "type" or an equivalent, or (b) on and after 1 January 1953 the type element is clearly indicated as such by direct citation and use of the term "lectotypus" or "neotypus", or their abbreviation, or their equivalent in a modern language!"

Acknowledgements

The work of F.R.B. and J.L.R. is supported by National Science Foundation Grant BSR-8812816. This is Scientific Article A-6328, Contribution 8504, of the Maryland Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service.

Literature cited


Proposed by: Fred R. Barrie, Missouri Botanical Garden, P.O. Box 299, St. Louis, MO, 63166, U.S.A.; James L. Reveal, Department of Botany, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, U.S.A.; and Charles E. Jarvis, Department of Botany, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. Corresponding address for F.R.B.: Department of Botany, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K.