

(086) Proposal to amend Article 9.19

Avishek Bhattacharjee,¹ Sangita Dey,¹ Subir Bandyopadhyay¹ & Paramjit Singh²

¹ Botanical Survey of India, 5th Floor, CGO Complex, Salt Lake City, Kolkata – 700064, West Bengal, India

² Botanical Survey of India, P.O. Botanic Garden, Howrah – 711103, West Bengal, India

Author for correspondence: Avishek Bhattacharjee, avibsi@rediffmail.com

DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.12705/644.30>

Leong-Škorničková & al. (in Taxon 64: 369. 2015) cited *Jaffray s.n.* (K) as the lectotype of *Kaempferia involucrata* King ex Baker selected by Smith (in Edinburgh J. Bot. 48: 24. 1991) and they designated an unpublished illustration “*Kaempferia involucrata* King // Ic. Herb. Calcutta Copied by G. E. H. 1890” [handwritten] at K as the epitype and stated that it at least partly served J.G. Baker for his description, which means it is a part of original material. In our opinion the name should have been re-lectotypified with the given

illustration instead of designating it as the epitype. However, there is no provision in Art. 9.19 in doing so. So we are proposing the amendments as follows.

(086) Amend Art. 9.19 to read (insertions in bold, deletions in strikethrough):

“9.19. The author who first designates (Art. 7.9 and 7.10) a lectotype or a neotype in conformity with Art. 9.11–13 must be followed,

but that choice is superseded if (a) the holotype or, in the case of a neotype, any of the original material is rediscovered; the choice may also be superseded if one can show that (b) it is in serious conflict with the protologue and another element is available that is not in conflict with the protologue, (c) **the choice of lectotype is demonstrably ambiguous and cannot be critically identified for purposes of the precise application of the name to a taxon and another element of**

original material is available that is unambiguous and agrees with current usage of the name, or that (ed) it is contrary to Art. 9.14.”

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Jana Leong-Škorničková for providing the PDF of their publication and N.J. Turland for his suggestions and refining the manuscript.
